

Questions to Commission:

(also, those questions to all others)

"All the evidence indicates that the bullet found on the governor's stretcher could have caused all his wounds." (R 95). What is the evidence a) that the bullet was found on the governor's stretcher and that it and not a hypothetical bullet could have caused all his wounds? Further, that this bullet and not a hypothetical bullet also, at the same time, caused all the non-fatal injuries to the President and remained entirely unutilated? (P. 172 ff.)

What is the source of the statement (R95) that "In their testimony all three doctors who attended the Governor at Parkland Hospital expressed independently their opinion that a single bullet had passed through his chest; tumbled through his wrist with very little exit velocity, leaving small metallic fragments from the rear portion of the bullet; punctured his left thigh after the bullet had lost all its velocity; and had fallen out of the thigh wound." (pp. 172 ff.)

The point here, again, is that this bullet also had caused the President's non-fatal injuries also; that there were more than three doctors who attended the ~~President~~ Governor; that they did not express either the opinion that, in fact, this bullet referred to, Exhibit 399, had, in fact, done either this or what it had to do for the Report to account for all the injuries and with only three bullets, cause all the non-fatal injuries to both men; that in fact the bullet that caused the Governor's chest wounds left fragments the "report does not mention; that the doctors said or had any way of knowing that this particular bullet had very little exit velocity when it left the wrist; that it did or could have left fragments from the rear portion only; and that, while the bullet had enough velocity to leave a fragment in the femur, it also fell out, undetected. The major point here, aside from the misrepresentation of the opinions of the doctors about Exhibit 399, is that Exhibit 399 had also to have caused all the President's and the Governor's non-fatal injuries and to have remained both undeformed and unutilated, which not one of the doctors said it in fact had.

When numerous autopsy pictures were taken (p. 181) and when the autopsy doctors said such pictures and Xrays "are of most value" and "quite routine" in autopsies, why (p. 182) were these pictures and Xrays not in the possession of the doctors for their testimony about the autopsy?

Why was the autopsy chart (pp. 184¹⁸⁴95) not used as the basis for the autopsy doctors' testimony? Why was it kept out of the Report? Why do the artists conceptions, "Exhibits 385, 386," not agree with this chart, showing the President's non-fatal injury in the back to be in the neck rather than the back?

Why did the Commission suppress the autopsy photographs and Xrays taken of the President's body at Bethesda Naval Hospital from its Report and even from its record of evidence? Why does the Commission suppress from both its Report and record of evidence the FBI spectrographic analysis of the bullet, Exhibit 399, and the fragments of bullets? When the spectrographer, Gallagher, appeared as a witness before the Commission, why did the Commission not question him at all about these spectrographic analysis?